

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,344	12/31/2003	Francis J. Manasek	057200/0121189	057200/0121189 9476	
7:	590 02/24/2005	EXAMINER			
Norma E. Henderson, Esq.			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
Hinckley, Aller 2nd Floor	n & Snyder LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
43 North Main Street Concord, NH 03301-4934			3761 DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- 1				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/750,34	4	MANASEK	CU.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			F Stephens	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	(PTO-413)								
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/31/03</u> .))	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In claims 1-3, the following language:

- Claim 1 "a narrow end that extends just short of the genital region when the wide end is positioned over the buttocks region";
 - Claim 2 "providing a dam situated within the ventral portion of the buttock cleft";
 - Claim 3 "fitting into the buttock cleft";

is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims positively recite a part of the human body, and therefore is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. Applicant has positively recited both the wearer of the article, and the article. Examiner assumes that Applicant intends to positively recite the article, but not the wearer. Examiner suggests

Application/Control Number: 10/750,344 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

that Applicant modify the phrases to either 'adapted for use with', or 'intended to be used with' language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yabrov et al.

As to claim 1, Yabrov discloses an article for protection from anal soiling comprising: an impervious outer layer (col. 4, lines 14-17); an absorbent middle layer 7; and a porous inner layer 2. The invention of Yabrov comprises a narrow end and a wide end that is capable of fitting over a users buttocks region (Figures 1, 2, and 8a-10c). Yabrov does not specifically disclose a pear-shaped article. However, Yabrov teaches a variety of shapes are possible for the article (Figures 1, 2, and 8a-10c). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the article of Yabrov with a pear shape, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the component, which Yabrov teaches is acceptable. "A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art". *In Re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)

Application/Control Number: 10/750,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 4, Yabrov discloses an adhesive 8 on the back of the outer layer for

removable attachment of the article to a garment.

As to claim 7, see Figure 9.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of

reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The overall claimed combination

of an article an absorbent article having a narrow end in the front portion and a wide end

in the back portion with an impervious outer layer, absorbent middle layer, and porous

inner layer and further comprising an additional absorbent pad extending from the

narrow end to the beginning of the wide end for protection from anal soiling is neither

anticipated nor rendered obvious by the prior art of record. Applicant has provided in

the specification a pages 8, lines 14 through page 9, line 6 and page 12, lines 9-17

criticality for the general shape of the pad (narrow end in front and wide end in rear).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Weimer USPN 5593398, Grosse USPN 5665081, Conant et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,344

Art Unit: 3761

USPN 6313371, and Matrullo USPN 4182335 are cited to show the state of the art with

Page 5

respect to various types of anal pads.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F Stephens whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on (571)272-4390. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacqueline F Stephens

Examiner

Art Unit 3761

February 22, 2005